

fs!

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,093	09/17/2003	Eric James Pressman	125338-1	3728
23405	7590 02/09/2005	EXAMINER		INER
	THENBERG FARLE	SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L		
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1621	
				_

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,093	PRESSMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 18-24 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-17 and 25-29 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/17/03; 1/18/05</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1031

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 3,987,068. The reference teaches separating a portion of reaction product by removing acetonitrile by distillation (note example 1) in a process of contacting a hydroxyaromatic compound with oxygen in the presence of a copper compound and can include hydrogen bromide (note line 21 of column 4) or ionic bromide salts such as cupric bromide and cuprous bromide (note lines 18 and 19 of column 4 and Examples 2, 7 and 8). The claims read on this prior art process.

## Double Patenting<sup>2</sup>

Claims 1-11 and 18-24 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,093 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Patent No. 6,815,565. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims read on the recycling

embodiment contemplated by the conflicting claims. The claims read on the method of

recycling of the catalysts taught by the conflicting patent to be within the purview of the

patented process, note the discussion in the bottom half of column 8 to the top of

column 9. Also, particularly note the separation recited in conflicting claims 9+. One

practicing this embodiment of the patented process would also be within the purview of

the instant claims.

Specification

Applicants should update the status of copending applications cited in the specification. It is particularly noted that none of the recited application actually having an issue date since they are still pending or are abandoned. Correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-17 and 25-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael L. Shippen** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0647**. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1600**. The official group FAX machine number is **571-273-8300**.

MShippen

February 6, 2005

MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 1621**